



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/840,209 | 05/05/2004 | Kang Lee | 26048-019 | 7266 | |
| 7590 03/07/2006 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, | | | MAYO, 1 | MAYO, TARA L | |
| GLOVSKY and | POPEO, P.C. | | | | |
| One Financial Center | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| Boston, MA 02111 | | | 3671 | | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 03/07/2004 | , | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/840,209 | LEE ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Tara L. Mayo | 3671 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 December 2005</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | • | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)[| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | • | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Exami | ner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | see the attached detailed Office action for a li | st of the certified copies not receive | · G . | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate | | | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date | 8) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1 through 14 and 39, drawn to a method for underwater hydrocarbon transport, classified in class 405, subclass 211.
 - II. Claims 15 through 26 and 40, drawn to a pipe-in-pipe apparatus, classified in class 138, subclass 149.
 - III. Claims 27 through 31 and 41, drawn to a method for thermally insulating an externally pressure loaded structure, classified in class 252, subclass 62.
 - IV. Claims 32 through 38 and 42, drawn to a method for thermally insulating a flow line, classified in class 405, subclass 154.1.
 - V. Claims 56 through 61, drawn to a pipe-in-pipe apparatus including an aerogel material, classified in class 138, subclass 140.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects - one being a process of making a pipe-in-pipe apparatus and the other being a process for insulating an externally pressure loaded structure, respectively, wherein the latter process does not require the specifics of the former one.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions - one being a process of making a pipe-in-pipe apparatus and the other being a process for insulating a liquefied hydrocarbon flow line, respectively, wherein the latter process requires the step of flowing a liquefied hydrocarbon through the flow line.

Inventions I and V are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. The claims of Group II are drawn to an apparatus, and the

claims of Group III are drawn to a process for insulating an externally pressure loaded structure not requiring the structure of the apparatus claimed in Group II.

Page 4

Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, the invention of Group II has separate utility such as for the transport of wastewater. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and V are related as products which share a disclosed common utility linked to a substantial structural feature. The products in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the products encompass embodiments that are NOT required to perform the common utility or (2) that the products as claimed encompass embodiments that are NOT required to have the substantial structural feature. In this case, the product of Invention V encompasses an embodiment (i.e., the embodiment inclusive of aerogel) that is not required to perform the common utility.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions - one being a process for thermally insulating an externally preloaded structure, and the other being a process for insulating a liquefied hydrocarbon flow line, respectively.

Inventions III and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the

process as claimed can be used to make a double walled structure without aerogel.

Inventions IV and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions

Page 5

are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the

process as claimed can be used to make a double walled structure without aerogel.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention set forth in Group III:

Species A, as seen in Figures 1 through 6, showing a pipe-in-pipe apparatus to which

claim 28 is restricted;

Species B, not shown, of an externally pressure loaded underwater subsea tree to which

claim 29 is restricted;

Species C, not shown, of an externally pressure loaded underwater riser to which claim

30 is restricted; and

Species D, not shown, of an externally pressure loaded liquefied natural gas tanker to

which claim 31 is restricted.

Art Unit: 3671

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 27 is generic.

5. A telephone call was made to Robert Sayre on 01 March 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/840,209

Art Unit: 3671

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

Page 7

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tara L. Mayo whose telephone number is 571-272-6992. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tlm

04 March 2006

PATENT EXAMINER